PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: DAVID L. FEIGENBAUM	PCT	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION	
	(PCT Rule 44.1)	
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26 APR 2007	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 12144-024WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US06/24958	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 June 2006 (27.06.2006)	
Applicant AIRVANA, INC.		
The applicant is hereby notified that the international see have been established and are transmitted herewith.	earch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority	
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19. The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the c	9: claims of the international application (see Rule 46):	
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months fronthe date of transmittal of the international search report.		
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIF 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile N	PO, 34 chemin des Colombettes No.: (41-22) 338.82.70.	
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	e accompanying sheet.	
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international sea Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of	earch report will be established and that the declaration under f the International Sarching Authority are transmitted herewith.	
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) add	Iditional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:	
the protest together with the decision thereon has be request to forward the texts of both the protest and	been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's d the decision thereon to the designated Offices.	
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the a	applicantwill be notified as soon as a decision is made.	
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publicat priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided technical preparations for international publication.	late, the international application will be published by the International ation, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the lin Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the	
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copreliminary examination report has been or is to be established before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.	on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the opy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international ed. These comments would also be made available to the public but not	
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone t (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, wi entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.	ct of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date vithin 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for	
	nths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.	
Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized officer Jacqueline A. Whitfield	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Daniel Lai Special Project Asst.	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-2600	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 12144-024WO1		Form PCT/ISA/220 ere applicable, item 5 below
International application No. PCT/US06/24958	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 June 2006 (27.06.2006)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 27 June 2005 (27.06.2005)
Applicant AIRVANA, INC.		
This international search report consists of the salso accompanied 1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international a translation of the of a translation furth of a translation furt	by a copy of each prior art document cited international search was carried out on the bas application in the language in which it was file international application into	in this report. is of: ed. , which is the language th (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submi	itted by the applicant.	
the text has been established,	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority the date of mailing of this international search	· · ·
as suggested by the	Authority, because the applicant failed to suggestathority, because this figure better characterizablished with the abstract.	-

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US06/24958

		<u>. </u>	
A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER H04Q 7/00(2006.01)		
USPC: According to	370/331,328 International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nati	onal classification and IPC	
B. FIELD	DS SEARCHED		
	cumentation searched (classification system followed by 0/331,328; 455/436,438,443	y classification symbols)	
Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
EAST	ta base consulted during the international search (name dormant handoff, mesh cluster, handover, subnet, back		n terms used)
C. DOCU	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap		Relevant to claim No.
X Y	US 2004/0214574 (EYUBOGLU et al. A1) 28 Octob 10, 22, 40, 62, 63, 75, 76, 90, 94, 95; Fig. 4	er 2004 (28.10.2004), paragraphs 6, 9,	1-3,5,6,8-14 and 17-32 4,7,15 and 16
Y	US 2004/0015607 A1 (BENDER et al. A1) 22 Januar	ry 2004 (22.01.2004), paragraph 71-72	4,7,15 and 16
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
• s	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inter date and not in conflict with the applica	
	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of relevance	principle or theory underlying the inver	ition
"E" carlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international fling date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered.	
	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cl considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such documents	when the document is combined
	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other meas t published prior to the international filing date but later than the	obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent f	amily
priority d	ate claimed		
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international searce	h report
	07 (19.03.2007)	26 APP 2007	
	ailing address of the ISA/US il Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	lacqueline A	, Whittield
Cor	mmissioner for Patents	Daniel Lai Special Proje	ect Asst.
	D. Box 1450 exandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-2600	•-
	o. (571) 273-3201		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY			
To: DAVID L. FEIGENBAUM FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			PC	T
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440				ION OF THE CHING AUTHORITY
	• •		(PCT Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	26 APR	2007
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2	below
12144-024WO1 International application No.	International filing date			ay/month/year)
PCT/US06/24958	27 June 2006 (27.06.200		27 June 2005 (
International Patent Classification (IPC)		<u> </u>	27 Julie 2005 (27.00.2003)
IPC: H04Q 7/00 (2006.01) USPC: 370/331,328				
Applicant				
AIRVANA, INC.				
1. This opinion contains indications re	ating to the following iten	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain del	fects in the international ap	plication		
Box No. VIII Certain ob	servations on the internation	onal application		
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for international preling International Preliminary Examining Authority other than this one to be that written opinions of this International International International International International Internation	ng Authority ("IPEA") e the IPEA and the chosen	xcept that this does IPEA has notified th	not apply when International E	re the applicant chooses an
If this opinion is, as provided above IPEA a written reply together, when of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	re appropriate, with amend	iments, before the ex-	piration of 3 mor	nths from the date of mailing
For further options, see Form PCT/I	SA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Forr	n PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ (JS Date of compl	etion of this opinion	Authorized offi	cer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	,	7 (21.03.2007)	Daniel Lai	Jacqueline A. Whitfield Special Project Asst.
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Telephone No.	(571) 272-2600

International application No.

PCT/US06/24958

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Add	itional comments:
İ	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US06/24958

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expla	43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) anations supp	with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria orting such statement	1
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)		4,7,15 and 16	YES
	Claims	1-3,5,6,8-14 and 17-32	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
	Claims	1-32	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	[-32	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
Please See Continuation Sheet			

International application No. PCT/US06/24958

Supplemental Box	
In case the space in any of the precedin	g boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

1. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-14 and 17-32 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Eyuboglu et al. (US 2004/0214574 A1).

Regarding claims 1-3 and 21. Eyuboglu discloses a method and radio access network (abstract). Eyuboglu discloses a radio access network with a first mesh cluster and a second mesh cluster (paragraphs 90 and 94, where Eyuboglu discloses all RN's are connected to at RNC's in a Ran). Eyuboglu disclose enabling an access terminal in a coverage area of the first mesh cluster to maintain a session through a radio node of the first mesh cluster with at least one radio node controller of the second mesh cluster (paragraph 91, where Eyuboglu discloses the UATI field and IP address). Eyuboglu discloses providing access by the radio node to a radio node controller identifier for the radio node controller of the second mesh cluster (paragraph 17).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, and 9, Eyuboglu discloses the radio node of the first mesh cluster receiving a packet from the access terminal (paragraph 91). Eyuboglu discloses selecting a radio node controller and transmitting the packing to the selected RNC (paragraph 91). Eyuboglu discloses examining the packet to determine whether its destination is a RNC with which the RN of the first mesh cluster is associated (paragraph 91) and selecting an associated RNC based on a RNC identifier provided by the packet (paragraph 91). Eyuboglu discloses selecting an associated RNC based on a load-balancing algorithm (paragraphs 61-63). Eyuboglu discloses dormant handoff of the session of the access terminal from a serving radio node controller to the selected radio node controller (paragraphs 94-95).

Regarding claims 10-14 and 30, Eyuboglu discloses a RAN comprising a mesh cluster of groups of radio node controllers (paragraphs 90 and 94; Fig. 4, where Eyuboglu discloses all RN's are connected to all RNC's in a RAN). Eyuboglu discloses defining a relationship or a non-neighboring relationship (paragraph 95, where Eyuboglu discloses subnet boundary), and enabling a radio node of a group to identify a destination RNC of a packet received from an access terminal and to selectively route the packet to a radio node controller based on the relationship between the group of the radio node and the group of the destination radio node controller (paragraph 91). Eyuboglu discloses routing packet to a destination based on the address field of a received packet (paragraph 91). Eyuboglu discloses the packet comprises a destination node controller identifier (paragraph 91).

Regarding claims 17-20, Eyuboglu discloses identifying the group of the destination radio node controller from the group identifier and determining a relation between group of the destination radio node controller and the group of the radio node (paragraph 17, 90 and 94). Eyuboglu discloses the radio nodes are primarily associated with the radio node controllers of its group (paragraphs 90 and 94).

Regarding claims 22-27, Eyuboglu discloses the second mesh cluster includes a radio node that is associated with all radio node controllers of the first mesh cluster such that an access terminal in a coverage area of the second mesh cluster is able to maintain a session with all radio node controller of the first mesh cluster (paragraph 90). Eyuboglu discloses the coverage area identified by radio

International application No. PCT/US06/24958

Supplemental B

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

nodes (paragraph 7 and 80). Eyuboglu discloses first mesh cluster and second mesh cluster area partially connected (paragraph 90). Eyuboglu discloses a pair of non-adjacent groups separated by N number of groups having neighboring relationship (paragraph 95).

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Eyuboglu discloses the RAN comprises of EV-DO or CDMA (paragraph 101).

Regarding claim 31 and 32, Eyuboglu discloses a pair of adjacent groups having a neighboring relationship and a non-adjacent groups separated by N number of groups having neighboring relationship (paragraph 95).

2. Claims 4, 7, 15 and 16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Eyuboglu in view of Bender et al. (US 2004/0015607 A1).

Regarding claims 4, 7, 15 and 16, Eyuboglu discloses the limitations of claim 1 and 10 as applied above. Eyuboglu further discloses determining the relationship between the group of the destination radio node controller and the group of the radio node (paragraph 95). Eyuboglu fails to teach identifier comprises the colorcode. Bender discloses an 8-bit color code used as an identifier. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention disclosed by Eyuboglu with the colorcode disclosed by Bender so that an 8-bit colorcode can be used to effectively compress the identifier.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed,
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers, claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4).

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume I/A, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see PCT Applicant's Guide. Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.